



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,949	12/10/2003	Horst Schonebeck	60,130-1980;00mra0443	6778

26096 7590 08/24/2005

CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.  
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD  
SUITE 350  
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009

EXAMINER
----------

BLANKENSHIP, GREGORY A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3612

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/731,949

Applicant(s)

SCHONEBECK, HORST

Examiner

Greg Blankenship

Art Unit

3612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/20/2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/280,867.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claim 13 is withdrawn in view of the new interpretation of reference(s) to Bartoli et al.. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

#### *Claim Objections*

1. Claims 7 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 7, line 4, "angle" should be --axis--;

Claim 19, line 4, "based" should be --base--.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-12, 15-17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bartoli et al. (FR2729621).

Bartoli et al. disclose a deformation element that is made of a first base part (5) and a second base part defined by the foam that surrounds plate (4). The first base part (5) has several tapered protrusions extending from the base part. The second base part has several tapered recesses that correspond to the tapered protrusions of the first base part (5). The first base part (5) is made of polypropylene and is softer than the foam used to form the second base part. This is best seen in Figure 3', which shows the deformation of a protrusion and recess when subjected to a load. The tapered protrusions penetrate the tapered recesses when subjected to a load resulting in the deformation of the protrusions. In reference to claims 2 and 4, the protrusions and

recesses have the shape of truncated cones. In reference to claim 7, the angle between a side surface of the protrusions and a middle axis of the protrusions is larger than an angle between a side surface of the recesses and a middle axis of the recesses. In reference to claim 8, the angle between a side surface of the protrusions and a middle axis of the protrusion varies during deformation resulting in an irregular shape. In reference to claim 9, the angle between a side surface of the recesses and a middle axis of the recesses varies during deformation resulting in an irregular shape. In reference to claim 10, the cross section of the front face of the protrusion is smaller than the front surface area of the recess. In reference to claim 11, the cross section of the foot of the protrusion is larger than the cross section of the front surface area of the recess. In reference to claims 12 and 17, first base part (5) is configured like a plate with a plurality of protrusions while the second base part is configured like a plate with a plurality of recesses. In reference to claim 15 and 16, the base parts are capable of being attached to either a car outer body part or a lining piece of a vehicle interior. In reference to claim 19, the protrusions of the first base plate are considered solid since they are made of a solid material that does not contain any holes, openings, etc. In reference to claim 20, the first base part (5) is a solid plate and the second base part is a solid plate body that has recesses that extend into the solid plate body.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Salloum et al. (3,933,387).

Salloum et al. disclose an energy absorber composed of two plates (38,40). Each plate (38,40) has pyramid shaped protrusions and pyramid shaped recesses that are formed by the edges of the pyramid shaped protrusions. The protrusions of each

Art Unit: 3612

plate (38,40) are received by corresponding recesses in the other plate (38,40). The angle of a side surface of each protrusion and a middle axis is the same as the angle of a side surface of each recess and a middle axis.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartoli et al. (FR2729621) in view of Salloum et al. (3,933,387).

Bartoli et al. do not disclose the claimed truncated pyramid shape.

Salloum et al. teaches the use of truncated pyramid shaped protrusions and recesses in a deformation element.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the protrusions and recesses of Bartoli et al. as truncated pyramids, as taught by Salloum et al., to provide the desired energy absorption characteristics.

7. Claims 14, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartoli et al. (FR2729621) in view of Redmond (6,062,413).

Bartoli et al. does not disclose the claimed material for the first base plate.

Redmond teaches the use of foamed HIPS plastic in place of polypropylene (column 6, line 66-column 7, line 9).

Art Unit: 3612

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the first base plate of Bartoli et al. using foamed HIPS in place of polypropylene, as taught by Redmond, to tune the absorption characteristics of the energy absorber.

***Response to Arguments***


8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Blankenship whose telephone number is 571-272-6656.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 571-272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gab  
August 22, 2005



**PATRICIA L. ENGLE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

8-22-05

A.U. 3612